



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/923,966	08/08/2001	Stefano M. Faccin	59864.00537	2097
32294 7	590 09/19/2005		EXAMINER	
SQUIRE, SANDERS & DEMPSEY L.L.P.			NGUYEN, MINH DIEU T	
14TH FLOOR 8000 TOWERS		·	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
TYSONS COR	NER, VA 22182		2137	

DATE MAILED: 09/19/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/923,966	FACCIN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Minh Dieu Nguyen	2137				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin iill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status			•			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 Ju	<u>ne 2005</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E			e merits is			
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		•				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers			,			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>16 June 2005</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	ACTION OF TOTAL	10-132.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigna) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in Applicat	ion No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been receiv	ed in this National	Stage			
application from the International Bureau						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PT	O-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. This action is in response to the communication dated June 16, 2005 with the amendment to the drawing.

Claims 1-16 are pending.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments, filed June 16, 2005 with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-16 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Magee et al. (2002/0056001), Lewis (6,526,506) and Tummala et al. (6,915,345).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-3 and 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Magee et al. (2002/0056001) in view of Lewis (6,526,506).

Application/Control Number: 09/923,966

Art Unit: 2137

As to claims 1 and 9, Magee discloses a system and method for providing a) secure, real time communications in a communications network between a user and a network comprising forwarding identifying information and a request for a security association (addressed by Lewis) from a mobile node (Fig. 3, element 113) via a first interface to a first network element (i.e. visited network, Fig. 3, element 203); forwarding the identifying information and the request for a security association from the first network element to a second network element (i.e. home network) via a second interface (Fig. 3, page 1, paragraph [0017], page 2, paragraphs [0029, 0032]); performing negotiations between the first network element and the second network element (Fig. 3) via the second interface to establish a security association (page 3, paragraphs [0032, 0034]) between the mobile node and the first network element, the second network element utilizing previously stored security association parameters of the mobile node (page 2, paragraph [0029]); and upon agreement between the first network element and the second network element with regard to the security association parameters, the first network element forwarding the agreed-upon security association parameters to the mobile node via the first interface (page 3, paragraph 0036]).

Page 3

Magee implicitly discloses forwarding identifying information from a Mobile Node to a first network element (i.e. providing some form of identification when registering in the visited network, page 2, paragraph [0031]), however not a request for a security association from a mobile node to a first network element.

Application/Control Number: 09/923,966 Page 4

Art Unit: 2137

Lewis discloses an encryption scheme and access point for providing two or more levels of encryption to prevent unauthorized access to the network (col. 1, liens 7-10) comprising forwarding a request for a security association from a mobile node to a first network element (Fig. 11).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to employ the use of requesting a security association from a mobile node to a first network element in the system of Magee, as Lewis teaches so as to provide secure communications in a network.

- b) As to claims 2 and 10, Magee discloses performing negotiations between the first network element and the second network element via the second interface includes exchanging parameters for the establishment of the security association (page 2, paragraph [0018]).
- c) As to claims 3 and 11, Lewis discloses the first interface comprises a wireless interface to forward information between the mobile node and the first network element (col. 1, lines 26-32).
- 5. Claims 4-8 and 12-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Magee et al. (2002/0056001) in view of Lewis (6,526,506) and further in view of Tummala et al. (6,915,345).
- a) As to claims 4 and 12, Magee and Lewis do not disclose the first network element is connected to a first gateway.

Application/Control Number: 09/923,966

Art Unit: 2137

Tummala discloses a system providing a security framework for control plane messages between different domains as the Mobile node roams throughout the network (col. 9, lines 61-64) comprising the first network element (i.e. foreign network) is connected to a first gateway (Fig. 4, element 345).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to employ the use of connecting the first network element to a first gateway in the system of Magee and Lewis, as Tummala teaches so as to enhance the security in network communications.

- b) As to claims 5 and 13, Tummala discloses the first gateway comprises a AAA server (Fig. 4, element 349).
- c) As to claims 6 and 14, Magee discloses the second network element comprises a subscriber database (Fig. 3, element 303). Tummala discloses the second network element comprises an authentication center (Fig. 4, element 317).
- d) As to claims 7 and 15, Tummala discloses the second network element further comprises a second gateway (Fig. 4, element 315) connected to the subscriber database and authentication center.
- e) As to claims 8 and 16, Khalil discloses the second gateway comprises a AAA server (Fig. 4, element 317).

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Minh Dieu Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-3873. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 6:00-2:30.

Art Unit: 2137

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Emmanuel Moise can be reached on 571-272-3865. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 571-272-2100.

Minh Dieu Nguyen Examiner Art Unit 2137

MMN mdn 9/8/05

> EMMANUEL L. MOISE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER